

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,345	09/24/2003	Shyh-Ing Wu	10232-US-PA	2344
31561 75	590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			DOTY, HEATHER ANNE	
7 FLOOR-1, N ROOSEVELT	O. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN		2813		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,345	WU, SHYH-ING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Heather A. Doty	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	entember 2003	•				
· _ ·	action is non-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	•	·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	· · · · ·	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	· !				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	:				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		;				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· .	: 				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	· .				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
		· •				
Attachment(s)		:				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 21 should depend from claim 20, not claim 18. If claim 21 depends from claim 18, the phrase "the etching solution" lacks appropriate antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 10, 12-16, 18, 19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lu et al. (U.S. 6,440,836).

Regarding claim 1, APA teaches a process for forming a plurality of bumps on a wafer with an active surface, wherein the wafer further includes a passivation layer, a polymer layer and a plurality of bonding pads over the active surface, and the bonding pads are exposed by a plurality of first openings in the passivation layer and the polymer layer (instant specification paragraph 0007), the process comprising the steps of:

--forming an adhesion layer over the active surface of the wafer covering the bonding pads and the polymer layer (instant specification paragraph 0008);

Art Unit: 2813

--forming a barrier layer on the adhesion layer (instant specification paragraph

Page 3

0008);

--forming a wettable layer on the barrier layer (instant specification paragraph

0008);

--removing a portion of the wettable layer and a portion of the barrier layer such

that the residual wettable layer and the residual barrier layer remain on the

bonding pads (instant specification paragraph 0009 and Fig. 1C);

--forming a patterned mask layer, wherein the mask layer has a plurality of

second openings that at least exposes the wettable layer (instant specification

paragraph 0010 and Fig. 1D);

--performing a printing process to form a solder paste layer inside the second

openings by depositing solder paste into each second opening (instant

specification paragraph 0011 and Fig. 1E);

--performing a first reflow process to transform the solder paste layer inside each

second opening into a bump (instant specification paragraph 0012 and Fig. 1F);

and

--removing the patterned mask layer (instant specification paragraph 0013).

APA does not teach that the step of forming the patterned mask layer includes

forming the mask layer on the adhesion layer, and it does not teach removing the

adhesion layer outside the residual wettable and the residual barrier layer.

Lu et al. teaches a method of forming a plurality of bumps on a wafer, the

process comprising the steps of forming an adhesion layer (column 7, line 54 – column

Art Unit: 2813

8, line 6; **82** in Fig. 3B), a barrier layer (**84** in Fig. 3B), and a wettable layer (not labeled, but considered part of BLM layer **80** in Fig. 3B; column 7, line 67 – column 8, line 1), removing a portion of the wettable layer and a portion of the barrier layer (Fig. 3D shows upper levels of the BLM layer removed from the regions not on the contact pad **72**), forming a patterned mask layer (**100** in Fig. 3G) on the adhesion layer, and removing the adhesion layer outside the residual wettable and the residual barrier layer (Fig. 3I). Lu et al. teaches that it is advantageous to leave the adhesion barrier in the regions not on the contact pad if the solder material is to be plated (column 9, lines 5-8).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bump-forming method taught by APA by removing portions of the wettable and barrier layers only, and then forming the patterned mask layer over the adhesion layer, as shown in Figs. 3D-3G of Lu et al., and after forming the solder layer, removing the remaining adhesive layer not under the solder, as shown in Fig. 3I of Lu et al. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been to allow for the solder layer to be formed by electroplating, as taught by Lu et al.

Regarding claim 2, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 1. APA further teaches performing a second reflow process to treat the bumps (instant specification paragraph 0013).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, APA is silent regarding the material composition of the adhesion and wettable layers. However, Lu et al. teaches that the adhesion layer is comprised of aluminum and the wettable layer of copper (column 7, lines 34-39). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2813

skill in the art to form a bump using the method taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and taught by claim 1, and further make the adhesion layer of aluminum and the wettable layer of copper. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been that these are normal materials for such applications, as taught by Lu et al. (column 2, lines 28-30) and using them would save the time and resources involved in developing alternative materials.

Regarding claim 9, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 1. APA is silent regarding the composition of the bonding pads, but Lu et al. teaches that the bonding pads are made of aluminum (column 2, lines 12-14). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form a bump using the process taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught by claim 1, and form the bonding pads of aluminum because it is a conductive metal, and a conventional material for such an application, as taught by Lu et al. (column 2, lines 8-14).

Regarding claim 10, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 1. Lu et al. further teaches that the bonding pads are made of aluminum (see rejection of claim 9 above) and that the under-bump-metallurgy is an aluminum/nickel-vanadium alloy/copper composite layer (see rejection of claims 3, 6, and 7 above).

Regarding claim 12, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 1. APA further teaches that the solder paste layer is made of a mixture that includes solder powder and flux (instant specification paragraph 0014).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 13, APA teaches a process of fabricating bumps on an active surface of a wafer, comprising the steps of:

- --forming a first under-bump-metallurgy layer on the active surface of the wafer;
- --forming a second under-bump-metallurgy layer on the first under-bump-metallurgy layer;
 - --removing a portion of the second under-bump-metallurgy layer;
- --forming a patterned mask layer, wherein the mask layer has a plurality of openings that at least exposes the second under-bump-metallurgy layer;
 - --performing a printing process to deposit a solder paste layer into the openings;
- --performing a first reflow process to transform the solder paste layer inside the openings into bumps; and
- --performing a second reflow process to treat the bumps (see instant specification paragraphs 0008-0013).

APA does not teach that forming the patterned mask layer includes forming the patterned mask layer over the first under-bump-metallurgy layer, or removing the first under-bump-metallurgy layer outside the residual second under-bump-metallurgy layer.

Lu et al. teaches a method of forming a plurality of bumps on a wafer, the process comprising the steps of forming a first under-bump-metallurgy layer (adhesion layer, column 7, line 54 – column 8, line 6; 82 in Fig. 3B), a second under-bump-metallurgy layer (84 in Fig. 3B; column 7, line 67 – column 8, line 1), removing a portion of the second under-bump-metallurgy layer (Fig. 3D shows upper levels of the BLM layer removed from the regions not on the contact pad 72), forming a patterned mask

Art Unit: 2813

layer (100 in Fig. 3G) over the adhesion layer, and removing the adhesion layer outside the residual wettable and the residual barrier layer (Fig. 3I). Lu et al. teaches that it is advantageous to leave the adhesion barrier in the regions not on the contact pad if the solder material is to be plated (column 9, lines 5-8).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bump-forming method taught by APA by removing portions of the second under-bump-metallurgy layer only, and then forming the patterned mask layer over the adhesion layer, as shown in Figs. 3D-3G of Lu et al., and after forming the solder layer, removing the remaining adhesive layer not under the solder, as shown in Fig. 3I of Lu et al. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been to allow for the solder layer to be formed by electroplating, as taught by Lu et al.

Regarding claims 14 and 18, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 13. APA further teaches that the second under-bump-metallurgy layer at least comprises a wettable layer and an adhesion layer (instant specification paragraph 0008).

Regarding claims 15 and 19, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 13. APA is silent regarding the material composition of the wettable and adhesion layers, but Lu et al. teaches that a material of the wettable layer comprises copper and the adhesion layer is made of titanium (column 2, lines 28-30; column 7, lines 37-38; column 8, lines 1-3). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to form a bump using the process taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught

Art Unit: 2813

by claim 13, and further make the wettable layer from copper. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been that these are normal materials for such applications, as taught by Lu et al. (column 2, lines 28-30) and using them would save the time and resources involved in developing alternative materials.

Regarding claim 16, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 14. APA further teaches that the step of forming a second under-bump-metallurgy layer on the first under-bump-metallurgy layer further includes the steps of forming a barrier layer on the first under-bump-metallurgy layer and forming the wettable layer on the barrier layer (instant specification paragraph 0008).

Regarding claim 22, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 13. APA further teaches that the solder paste layer is made of a mixture that includes solder powder and flux (instant specification paragraph 0014).

Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lu et al. (U.S. 6,440,836) and Cronin et al. (U.S. 6,140,703).

Regarding claims 6 and 17, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claims 1 and 16. APA is silent regarding the composition of the barrier layer, but Lu et al. teaches that a material of the barrier layer includes nickel-vanadium alloy (column 7, line 38). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to form a bump using the process taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught by claim 16, and further make the barrier layer from a nickel-vanadium alloy. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been because a composition of nickel

Art Unit: 2813

and vanadium forms a barrier that inhibits the dissolution of nickel and its subsequent diffusion during solder reflow processes, as expressly taught by Cronin et al. (column 2, lines 54-56).

Claims 4, 5, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lu et al (U.S. 6,440,836) and further in view of Agarwala (U.S. 5,376,584).

Regarding claims 4, 5, 20, and 21, APA and Lu et al. together teach the processes of claims 1 and 19. They do not teach that the step of removing the adhesion layer comprises using an etching solution for etching the adhesion layer, wherein the etching solution does not react with the bumps.

Agarwala teaches a method of forming a bump that comprises etching an adhesion layer after the bump is formed using an etch solution that does not react with the bump (column 4, lines 33-38; since the solder bump is reflowed after the chemical etch, it is inherent that the etch did not react with the bump).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught by claims 1 and 19, and further remove the adhesion layer using an etch solution that does not react with the bumps. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been to protect the bumps for future processing steps such as reflow, as taught by Agarwala et al. (column 4, lines 37-38).

Art Unit: 2813

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lu et al. (U.S. 6,440,836) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim et al. (U.S. 6,417,089).

Regarding claim 8, APA and Lu et al. together teach the method of claim 1, but do not teach that the polymer layer is made of a material selected from the group consisting of benzocyclobutene and polyimide.

Kim et al. teaches forming an insulation layer above a passivation layer and beneath an under-bump-metallurgy layer wherein the insulation layer comprises a polymer selected from the group consisting of benzocyclobutene and polyimide (column 3, lines 26-35). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a bump according to the method taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught by claim 1, and further make the polymer layer from benzocyclobutene or polyimide, since it is known in the art to do so, as taught by Kim et al. Further, it has been held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination (Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lu et al. (U.S. 6,440,836) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Higdon et al. (U.S. 6,375,062).

Regarding claim 11, APA and Lu et al. together teach the process of claim 9, but do not teach that the under-bump-metallurgy is a titanium/nickel-vanadium alloy/copper composite layer when the bonding pads are made of copper.

Art Unit: 2813

Higdon et al. teaches a solder bumping method that uses copper bonding pads wherein it is particularly suitable to use a titanium/nickel-vanadium alloy/copper composite layer for the under-bump-metallurgy layer (column 4, lines 34-38 and 54-58).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a solder bump according to the process taught by APA and Lu et al. together, and also taught by claim 9, and further make the bonding pads of copper and the under-bump-metallurgy of titanium/nickel-vanadium alloy/copper composite. The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been that Higdon et al. teaches that this under-bump-metallurgy layer is particularly suitable in bump-forming processes. Further, it has been held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination (*Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)).

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Page 12

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Heather A. Doty, whose telephone number is 571-272-

8429. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-1702. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

had

DAVID BLUM

PRIMARY EXAMINER